WORKFORCE OBSERVATIONS FOR SOUTHEAST WISCONSIN COUNTIES FEBRUARY 2002



State of Wisconsin Department of Workforce Development

January figures reflect the time of year in the Southeast

quite dramatic as seasonal holiday employment ends and peo- thems elves unemployed, mitigated by the fact that there was ple move in and out of the labor force for various reasons. The not a decrease in the number of those employed which held first few months of the year are usually the ones with the stable. The number of employed remaining stable over the year's highest unemployment rates in Wisconsin counties and month is a good sign for this time of year because January have the largest swings in the labor force, precipitating these typically sees a large reduction in the number of employed in changes. 2002 will probably keep proceeding like 2001 did; the Racine area. Compared to last January, Racine County higher (and continued volatile) unemployment rates that still showed increases in the number of employed (+900), but is reflect Wisconsin's economic seasonality despite the over all offset by an even larger number of unemployed (+1,440) creeconomic softening. Many indications that the recession is ating a rate that is 1.4 percent points higher than January over abound, but unfortunately employers' willingness to ex- 2001. The average January rate given the 1990-2000 time pepand or hire is typically diminished until they are absolutely riod is 5.9 percent with this year's January rate the highest sure that it is feasible and necessary. A great deal of the labor since 8.5 percent was recorded in January 1992. that is needed for increased demand in the short-term will be supplied in the form of overtime by current employees.

the labor force (e.g. students on winter break, December's ex- counties. tra retail help, etc.). Since 1990, the January rate has averaged 5.1 percent for Kenosha County. Contrast this rate to Decem- Industry Scene for the Beginning of the New Year ber's average of 3.7 percent over the same timeframe and one The Southeast WDA lost 5,170 jobs over the month. A loss in

last January's unemployment rate was only one-tenth of a point lower than this Kenosha year. County, over the year, registered a lower unemployment rate which is excellent news as most counties in the state are considerably higher in unemployment than they were at this time a year Kenosha's ago. monthly rate ranked 58th of 72 counties

Jan 01' to Jan 02' Unemployment Rates (not seasonally adjusted) - Kenosha MSA **Walworth County** Racine MSA 8% 7% 6% 5% 4% 30/ 2% 1% 0% Source: DWD, BLS, Local Area Unemployment Statistics

(number one being highest, 72 being lowest).

The current **Racine MSA** not seasonally adjusted unemploy- manufacturing is up 100 over the month. ment rate is 7.6 percent and is ranked 26th. The January rate is up both over the month and year, by 1.3 and 1.4 percentage

points, respectively. The county's labor force increase was Labor force changes between December and January can be purely weighted in an increase in the number of those calling

Walworth County's not seasonally adjusted unemployment rate for January 2002 is 5.2 percent. This is up from 3.8 per-The Kenosha MSA, not seasonally adjusted, unemployment cent in December 2001 and is up 1.1 percent points from rate for January is 5.5 percent This is up 0.7 percent points January of 2001. The average unemployment rate for January over the month but is down 0.4 percentage point over the in Walworth is 3.7 percent and December is 2.6 percent so the year; one of only a handful of counties with a rate lower than monthly jump is expected. An interesting point in Walworth a year ago for this month. Monthly labor force figures show this year is that the number of employed dropped by 560 over more people employed but considerably more are unemployed the month which is a much smaller monthly drop than the as one would expect in January due to shifting of seasonal 1,500-3,000 employed drop that January has brought within workers and large numbers of people moving into and out of the last decade. Walworth County ranked 62nd of the state's 72

can see that quite the jump is anticipated. January 2002's rate reported jobs is not uncommon for the December to January is the highest for this month since 1994 (5.6 percent), though period as a great deal of this loss is evidence of seasonal jobs

> ending and jobs being temporarily halted over the holiday period such as school district employees.

> The Kenosha MSA shows a reduction of 1,280 jobs net. Most of the loss was centered in service producing sectors, namely retail trade and services combining for 1,040 of the jobs. Transportation workers such as school bus drivers were also reduced over the month as their post-holiday break employment was probably not captured in January's survey figures. On a continued

brighter note, manufacturing employment in transportation equipment increased by three hundred and nondurable goods

(Continued on page 2)

government showed the most reduction in employment, but every this huge monthly decline. major industry in the MSA lost employment over the month. and non-electrical machinery.

loss of jobs over the month. Walworth lost 1,590 or four percent 2,600 jobs or 6.7 percent since January 2001. Wholesale trade, of its total jobs between December and January and for two par- which is usually clustered within manufacturing-intense locales is ticular reasons: 1) expected retail trade employment reductions also lower in employment over the year. Construction employand 2) state and local government employees such as local school ment grew extremely robustly in the Southeast-a whopping 18.5 districts' staff and employees at the University of Wisconsin- percent over the year, especially in Kenosha County, as the de-Whitewater have extended amounts of time off during winter mand for private, residential housing has never waned during the break and are not counted in the payroll survey, even as far as economic downturn.

The **Racine MSA** registered the largest monthly job loss of the into the second week of January when the survey is implemented. three counties, down 2,300 total jobs. Services, retail trade and These industries' numbers will recover in February, mitigating

Construction losses over the month exceeded manufacturing. The Southeast WDA over the year shows 80 more jobs than in losses, -560 jobs to -200 jobs, respectively. Manufacturing sec- January 2001. The entire state shows 13,450 fewer jobs than at tors that showed a reduction in employment were primary metals the same time last year. It is the author's opinion that the WDA's slight annual increase over the year is actually bolstered upward artificially by reporting subtleties in Walworth County's state Walworth County showed the most dramatic "pound for pound" government employment figures. Manufacturing losses total

		Kenosha	Racine	Walworth		City of	City of
January 2002	Wisconsin	County/MSA	County/MSA	County	Southeast WDA	Kenosha	Racine
Civilian Labor Force*	3,031,860	83,314	93,835	53,184	229,986	49,331	39,093
Persons Employed	2,855,240	78,755	86,699	50,395	217,998	46,051	34,652
Persons Unemployed	176,620	4,559	7,136	2,789	11,988	3,280	4,441
Unemployment Rate	5.8%	5.5%	7.6%	5.2%	5.2%	6.6%	11.4%
Total iobs of all non-farm industries**	2.759.171	53.978	79,455	39.867	173.300		
Goods Producing Jobs	675,732	14,166	24,287	10,771	49,224		
Service Producing Jobs	2.083,439	39.812	55,168	29.096	124,076		
Construction & Mining	108,065	2,674	3.147	1,642	7,463		
All Manufacturing	567,667	11,492	21,140	9,129	41,761		
Transportation, Communications & Public Utilities	131,498	2,088	2,480	1,594	6.162		
Wholesale Trade	135,100	2.472	3.288	1.457	7.217		
Retail Trade	490.681	10.987	14.274	7.299	32,560		
Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate	150.431	1,365	2.344	1.299	5.008		
Services	761.099	13.902	22,429	10.132	46,463		
All Government	414.630	8.998	10.353	7.315	26,666		
	Char	nge from Decembe	er 2001				
Civilian Labor Force*	50,760	1,110	1,340	210	2,660	650	650
Persons Employed	6,140	360	0	-560	,	200	-10
Persons Unemployed	44,520	760	1,340	770		450	660
Unemployment Rate	1.4%	0.8%	1.3%	1.4%	,	0.8%	1.5%
Total jobs of all non-farm industries**	-76.820	-1 280	-2.300	-1.590		0.070	7.070
Goods Producing Jobs	-18.950	160	-760	-410			
Service Producing Jobs	-57.870	-1.440	-1.540	-1.180	•		
Construction & Mining	-11.710	-180	-560	-310			
All Manufacturing	-7 240	340	-200	-100	,		
Transportation, Communications & Public Utilities (TCPU)	-2 540	-130	-20	-70			
Wholesale Trade	-1.380	-50	-130	-40			
Retail Trade	-27.730	-470	-560	-410			
Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate (FIRE)	-770	-80	-110	-90			
Services	-15 500	-570	-530	-150			
All Government	-9.950	-140	-190	-420	-740		
7 10 247747 11 1771		ange from January			, 10		
Civilian Labor Force*	96.260	1,210	2,340	2,900	8,560	600	1,160
Persons Employed	60,140	-50	900	2,220	,	870	380
Persons Unemployed	36,020	-240	1.440	680	,	-270	780
Unemployment Rate	1.0%	-0.4%	1.4%	1.1%	,	-0.6%	1.7%
Total jobs of all non-farm industries**	-13.450	-120	-790	990) 80		
Goods Producing Jobs	-35.610	350	-1.300	-420	-1.370		
Service Producing Jobs	22.160	-470	510	1.410			
Construction & Mining	-2.160	780	340	100			
All Manufacturing	-33 450	-440	-1.640	-520	-2.600		
Transportation, Communications & Public Utilities (TCPU)	-580	-80	150	70			
Wholesale Trade	-840	-160	-50	-30			
Retail Trade	-690	80	-130	140			
Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate (FIRE)	2 620	-50	-10	170			
Services	9,370	-360	110	10			
All Government	12.280	110	430	1 040			

^{*} Labor force figures are not seasonally adjusted and are commonly revised. Figures from "place of residence" survey from the Bureau of Labor Statistics' Local Area Unemployment Statistics program

Month and year ago change figures are rounded and may not sum to total

Questions and comments regarding this publication are welcome. Direct to: Eric Grosso, State Labor Market Economist 201 E. Washington Avenue, Room G200, Madison, Wisconsin 53703 608-266-7034 608-266-5887(FAX) E-mail: grosser@dwd.state.wi.us Look for the most current Labor Market Information at: www.dwd.state.wi.us/lmi

^{**}Figures based upon "place of employment" survey from the BLS, Non-Farm Wage and Salary estimates